

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,881	12/30/2004	Tetsuya Sakata	10921.0268USWO	7393
52835 HAMRE SCE	7590 04/09/200 IUMANN, MUELLER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2902 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			DOUGHERTY, SEAN PATRICK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/519,881	SAKATA ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SEAN P. DOUGHERTY	3736	

The amendment document filed on 16 January 2009 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

	is required.	socument to be compliant, correction of the following
	DLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMI 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	ENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
	A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72 B. Other	
	3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the to "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121. B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing con showing amended figures, without markings, in C. Other	d). ection has been eliminated. Replacement drawings
		all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) restatus identifier, and as such, the individual status tus of every claim must be indicated after its claim tifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), lithdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
	 Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> 	accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further	her explanation of the amendment format required by 37 Cl	FR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PE	ERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
filed a	plicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant and d after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-c ire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	
corre (inclu amer Quay	olicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is rection, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the follow luding a submission for a request for continued examinatio endment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.1 ayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the luding the manufacture of the compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	ing: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment n (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental 03(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) <u>o</u> amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i>	
<u>Fa</u>	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant an filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment.	
/Soan D	P. Doughorty/	y Hindonburg/

Examiner, Art Unit 3736
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

/Max Hindenburg

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324)

Application No. 10/519,881

Continuation of 5 Other: Applicant has traversed the Requirement for Restriction/Election mailed 12/19/2008.

- (a) The Notice of Non-Compliance is proper because Applicant elected in the Response to Election/Restriction Group II (claims 16-20). Examiner indicated in the Requirement for Restriction/Election that Group I (claims 15 and 21-26) had already been examined on the merits; therefore, Group II had been withdrawn from consideration as being direction to a non-elected invention. Applicant's election of the non-elected group is therefore considered improper.
- (b) Furthermore, Applicant argues that the method claims of Group II have already been examined on the merits. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and contends that new method claims 16-20 contain method steps not recited in the previous (now canceled) method claims. The previous method claims did not require an analyzer/sensor in a second housing, where the attaching of the first and second housing is temporary, where the first housing is removed from the second housing, et al.

Accordingly, claims 16-20 REMAIN withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.